APPENDIX F: REFERENCE QUESTIONNAIRE

REQUEST FOR PROPOSAL RFP # 2024-05: Housing Rehabilitation and Construction Services

The State of New Mexico, as a part of the RFP process, requires Offerors to submit a minimum of three (3) business references as required within this document. The purpose of these references is to document Offeror's experience relevant to the scope of work in an effort to establish Offeror's responsibility.

establish Offeror's responsibility.
Offeror is required to send the following reference form to each business reference listed. The business reference, in turn, is requested to submit the Reference Form directly to: Procurement Manager by March 12, 2024 , for inclusion in the evaluation process. The form and information provided will become a part of the submitted proposal. Business references provided may be contacted for validation of content provided therein.
(Name of Offeror)
This form is being submitted to your company for completion as a business reference for the company listed above. This form is to be returned to NCNMEDD by e-mail at:
Name: Bernadette Segobia-Abeyta, Procurement Manager Email: bernadettes@ncnmedd.com
This completed form must be submitted no later than 5:00 pm Mountain Daylight Time on March 12, 2024 , and <u>must not</u> be returned to the company requesting the reference.
For questions or concerns regarding this form, please contact the North Central New Mexico Economic Development District Procurement Manager listed above. When contacting us, please be sure to include the Request for Proposal number listed at the top of this page.
Company providing reference:
Contact name and title/position
Contact telephone number

Project description;

Contact e-mail

address

	iect dates (starting and ing);		
QUE	STIONS:		
1.	In what capacity have you worked with this vendor in the past	?	
2.	How would you rate this firm's knowledge and expertise?		
	3 = Excellent; 2 = Satisfactory; 1 = Unsatisfactory; 0 = Unacceptable		
	COMMENTS:		
3.	How would you rate the vendor's flexibility relative to change timelines?	s in the project scope and	
	3 = Excellent; 2 = Satisfactory; 1 = Unsatisfactory; 0 = Unacceptable		
	COMMENTS:		
4.	What is your level of satisfaction with hard-copy materials pro	oduced by the vendor?	
	3 = Excellent; 2 = Satisfactory; 1 = Unsatisfactory; 0 = Unacceptable		
	COMMENTS:		
5.	How would you rate the dynamics/interaction between the ver	ndor and your staff?	
	3 = Excellent; 2 = Satisfactory; 1 = Unsatisfactory; 0 = Unacceptable		
	COMMENTS:		
6.	Who were the vendor's principal representatives involved in your project and how would you rate them individually? Would you comment on the skills, knowledge, behaviors or other factors on which you based the rating?		
	3 = Excellent; 2 = Satisfactory; 1 = Unsatisfactory; 0 = Unacceptable		
	Name:	Rating:	
	COMMENTS:		

7.	How satisfied are you with the products developed by the vendor?
	3 = Excellent; 2 = Satisfactory; 1 = Unsatisfactory; 0 = Unacceptable
	COMMENTS:

- 8. With which aspect(s) of this vendor's services are you most satisfied? COMMENTS:
- 9. With which aspect(s) of this vendor's services are you least satisfied? COMMENTS:
- 10. Would you recommend this vendor's services to your organization again? COMMENTS: